# 01204211 Discrete Mathematics Lecture 4b: Mathematical Induction 2

Jittat Fakcharoenphol

August 2, 2022

## Review: Mathematical Induction

Suppose that you want to prove that property P(n) is true for every natural number n.

Suppose that we can prove the following two facts: Base case: P(1)Inductive step: For any  $k \ge 1$ ,  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$ 

The **Principle of Mathematical Induction** states that P(n) is true for every natural number n.

The assumption P(k) in the inductive step is usually referred to as **the Induction Hypothesis**.

## Example 1

Theorem: For every natural number n,  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n}{6}(n+1)(2n+1)$ 

**Proof:** We prove by induction. The property that we want to prove P(n) is " $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = \frac{n}{6}(n+1)(2n+1)$ ."

Base case: We can plug in n = 1 to check that P(1) is true:  $1^2 = \frac{1}{6}(1+1)(2\cdot 1+1).$ 

**Inductive step:** We assume that P(k) is true for  $k \ge 1$  and show that P(k+1) is true.

We first assume the Induction Hypothesis P(k):  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} i^2 = \frac{k}{6}(k+1)(2k+1)$ 

(continue on the next page)

# Example 1 (cont.)

Let's show 
$$P(k+1)$$
. We write  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k i^2\right) + (k+1)^2$ .

Using the Induction Hypothesis, we know that this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (k/6)(k+1)(2k+1) + (k+1)^2 &= \frac{(k+1)}{6}(k(2k+1) + 6(k+1))\\ &\quad \text{(In this step, we factor out } (k+1)/6)\\ &= \frac{(k+1)}{6}(2k^2 + 7k + 6)\\ &= \frac{(k+1)}{6}((k+1) + 1)(2(k+1) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies P(k+1) as required.

From the Principle of Mathematical Induction, this implies that P(n) is true for every natural number  $n.\ \blacksquare$ 

#### Theorem 1

For any set of cows, all cows have the same color.

Proof.

We prove by induction on the size n of the set of cows.

**Base case:** For n = 1, clearly for any set of a single cow, every cow in the set has the same color.

**Inductive step:** Suppose that for every set of size k of cows, all cows in the set have the same color.

We will show that every set of size k + 1 of cows, all cows in this set have the same color.

# Not an example (2)

**Inductive step (cont.):** Consider set A of k + 1 cows.

Because we have established that the base case and the inductive step is true, we can conclude that for any set of cows, all cows have the same color.  $\blacksquare$ 

Clearly the following theorem cannot be true.

Theorem 2 For any set of cows, all cows have the same color.

What is wrong with its proof based on mathematical induction?

## Unused facts

• Let's informally think about how proving P(1) and  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$  for all  $k \ge 1$  implies that P(n) is true for all natural number n.

- One may notice that when we prove a statement P(n) for all natural number n by induction, during the inductive step where we want to show P(k+1) from P(k), we usually have that P(1), P(2),...,P(k) is true at hands as well.
- Then why don't we use them as well?

# Strong Mathematical Induction

#### Strong Induction

Suppose that you want to prove that property P(n) is true for every natural number n.

Suppose that we can prove the following two facts: Base case: P(1)Inductive step: For any  $k \ge 1$ ,

$$P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1).$$

Then P(n) is true for every natural number n.

#### Example 2

Theorem: For any integer  $n \ge 4$ , one can use only 2-baht coins and 3-baht coins to obtain exactly n baht.

**Proof**: We prove by strong induction on n.

**Base cases:** For n = 4, we can use two 2-baht coins. For n = 5, we can use one 2-baht coin and one 3-baht coin.

**Inductive step:** Assume that for  $k \ge 5$ , we can obtain exactly  $\ell$  baht, for  $4 \le \ell \le k$ , using only 2-baht and 3-baht coins. We will show how to obtain a set of k + 1 baht. Since  $k \ge 5$ , we have that  $k - 1 \ge 4$ . Therefore from the Induction Hypothesis, we can use only 2-baht coins and 3-baht coins to form a set of coins of total value k - 1 baht. With one additional 2-baht coin, we can obtain a set of value (k - 1) + 2 = k + 1 baht, as required.

From the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction, we conclude that the theorem is true.  $\blacksquare$ 

#### Is strong induction more powerful?

- Can we prove the previous theorem without using the strong induction? Yes, you can (homework).
- In fact, if you can prove that P(n) is true for all natural number n with strong induction, you can always prove it with mathematical induction.
- Hint: Let  $Q(n) = P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(n)$ .